BEFORE THE OFFICE OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS STATE OF GEORGIA

LACEY LUKE,

Petitioner,

v.

DEPARTMENT OF DRIVER SERVICES, Respondent.

Docket No.: 2226156 F 2226156-OSAH-DPS-ALS-25-Teate

FILED

11/09/2022

Agency Reference No.: xxxxxxxx

FINAL DECISION

I. Introduction

Kristan Moses, Legal Assistant

This matter is an administrative review of the Respondent's decision to suspend the Petitioner's driver's license, permit, or privilege to operate a motor vehicle or commercial motor vehicle in the State of Georgia pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 40-5-67.1. After considering all of the admissible evidence and the arguments of the parties at the hearing, the Respondent's action is **AFFIRMED** for the reasons stated below.¹

II. Findings of Fact

he arresting officer: initiated a stop of a vehicle driven by the Petitioner based on a traffic violation. (Petitioner ran a red light) responded to the scene of a motor vehicle collision involving a vehicle driven by the Petitioner. The collision did not result in a serious injury or fatality.
glassy eyes watery eyes slurred speech slow speech unsteadiness on his/her feet swaying confusion a odor of an alcoholic beverage coming from the Petitioner's \(\subseteq \) vehicle \(\subseteq \) person \(\subseteq \) breath
response to the arresting officer's inquiry regarding the Petitioner's consumption of alcoholic beverages, the Petitioner: denied consuming any alcoholic beverages. admitted consuming alcoholic beverages ().
arresting officer's request: ☐ horizontal gaze nystagmus (performed but no clues as anticipated for marijuana use) ☑ walk and turn ☑ one-leg stand ☑ other: modified Rhomberg evaluation ☐ The Petitioner completed an Alco-Sensor/preliminary breath test, which was positive for alcohol.
W X C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C

¹ The record remained open through November 8, 2022, for submission of a videotape from Petitioner that was not received per email directive on November 7, 2022. Upon appropriate motion for reconsideration within 10 days of the issuance of this Final Decision, said videotape may be submitted along with such a motion.

	The arresting officer did not ask the Petitioner to perform field sobriety evaluations.
hii	e arresting officer placed the Petitioner under arrest for driving under the influence of alcohol or a controlled substance, read n/her the implied consent notice for \boxtimes drivers age 21 and over \square drivers under age 21 \square commercial drivers, and signated a \square breath \boxtimes blood \square urine test as the state-administered chemical test.
	failed to provide an adequate sample for the state-administered breath test designated by the arresting officer, which the officer considered a refusal of the test.
	III. Conclusions of Law
	espondent bears the burden of proof in this matter. Ga. Comp. R. & Regs. 616-1-207(1). The standard of proof is a derance of the evidence. Ga. Comp. R. & Regs. 616-1-221(4). The Respondent met its burden and proved the following:
	The arresting officer had reasonable grounds to believe the Petitioner was driving or in actual physical control of a moving motor vehicle while under the influence of alcohol or a controlled substance, and the Petitioner was lawfully placed under arrest for violating O.C.G.A. § 40-6-391. O.C.G.A. § 40-5-67.1(g)(2)(A)(i).
	The Petitioner was involved in a motor vehicle accident or collision resulting in a serious injury or fatality. O.C.G.A. § 40-5-67.1(g)(2)(A)(ii).
	At the time of the request for the state-administered test or tests, the arresting officer informed the Petitioner of his/her implied consent rights and the consequence of submitting or refusing to submit to such test(s). O.C.G.A. § 40-5-67.1(g)(2)(B).
\boxtimes	The Petitioner refused the state-administered test(s). O.C.G.A. § 40-5-67.1(g)(2)(C)(i).
	The state-administered test or tests were properly administered by an individual possessing a valid permit issued by the Division of Forensic Sciences of the Georgia Bureau of Investigation on an instrument approved by the Division of Forensic Sciences, and the machine at the time of the test was operated with all its electronic and operating components prescribed by its manufacturer properly attached and in good working order. A copy of the operator's permit showing that the operator has been trained on the particular type of instrument used and one of the original copies of the test results or, where the test was performed by the Division of Forensic Sciences, a copy of the crime lab report, was/were introduced into evidence and satisfied the requirements of O.C.G.A. § 40-5-67.1(g)(2)(D).
	The test results indicated an alcohol concentration of 0.08 grams or more; or, for a Petitioner under the age of 21, an alcohol concentration of 0.02 grams or more; or, for a Petitioner operating or having actual physical control of a commercial motor vehicle, an alcohol concentration of 0.04 grams or more. O.C.G.A. § 40-5-67.1(g)(2)(C)(ii).
Accord 67.1.	ingly, the Respondent's suspension of the Petitioner's driver's license, permit, or privilege was proper. O.C.G.A. § 40-5-
	IV. Decision
The Re	spondent's decision to suspend the Petitioner's driver's license, permit, or privilege to operate a motor vehicle of commercial

ial motor vehicle in the State of Georgia is hereby sustained and AFFIRMED.

SO ORDERED, this 9th day of November 2022.

Steven W. Teate Administrative Law Judge 1995