

**IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA**

GA BIOSCIENCE RESEARCH, INC.,)	
Petitioner,)	
)	Civil Action File No.:
v.)	2023CV383110
)	
Hon. Judge Stephanie M. Howells,)	
Administrative Law Judge, Office of)	
State Administrative Hearings, sitting by)	
designation for the GEORGIA ACCESS)	
TO MEDICAL CANNABIS)	
COMMISSION,)	
Respondent in Certiorari,)	
)	
BOTANICAL SCIENCES, LLC and)	
TRULIEVE GA, INC.,)	
Defendants in Certiorari.)	

ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE

Having reviewed the above-captioned case, as well as the Court's records,¹ the Court finds that the above-captioned case is related to two other cases, ASPIRE MEDICAL PARTNERS, LLC, v. Hon. Judge Stephanie M. Howells, Administrative Law Judge, Office of State Administrative Appeals, sitting by designation for the GEORGIA MEDICAL CANNABIS COMMISSION, BOTANICAL SCIENCES, LLC and TRULIEVE GA, INC., Civ. Action No. 2022CV371515 (Sup. Ct. Fulton Cty. Oct. 17, 2022), and WINDFLOWER GEORGIA, LLC v. Hon. Judge Stephanie M. Howells, Administrative Law Judge, Office of State Administrative Hearings, sitting by designation for the GEORGIA ACCESS TO MEDICAL CANNABIS COMMISSION, BOTANICAL SCIENCES, LLC and TRULIEVE GA, INC., Civ. Action No. 2023CV383093 (Sup. Ct. Fulton Cty. July 24, 2023), both of which are assigned to the Honorable

¹ As a rule, a trial court may take judicial notice of records on file in its own court. E.g., Woodehaven Townehomes, Inc. v. Ferdinand, 296 Ga. App. 325, 328 (2009).

Shukura L. Ingram, Judge, Superior Court of Fulton County, Georgia.² Therefore, the above-captioned case is **HEREBY TRANSFERRED** to the Honorable Shukura L. Ingram.³ See Unif. Sup. Ct. R. 3.2 (“Generally [all actions involving substantially the same parties, subject matter, or factual issues] will be assigned to the judge to whom the action with the lower action number is assigned.”).

SO ORDERED this the 26th day of September, 2023.



Honorable Ural Glanville, Chief Judge
Fulton County Superior Court
Atlanta Judicial Circuit

² The Uniform Superior Court Rules govern the control and assignment of cases. See Unif. Sup. Ct. R. 3. To that end, “[i]n multi-judge circuits, unless a majority of the judges in the circuit elect to adopt a different system, all actions . . . shall be assigned by the clerk of each superior court . . .” Unif. Sup. Ct. R. 3.1.

³ The Uniform Superior Court Rules provide, in pertinent part,

The judge to whom any action is assigned shall have exclusive control of such action, except as provided in these rules, and no person shall change any assignment except by order of the judge affected and as provided in these rules. In this regard[,] an assigned judge may transfer an assigned action to another judge with the latter’s consent in which event the latter becomes the assigned judge.

Unif. Sup. Ct. R. 3.3. As such, the parties should be advised that, if the Honorable Shukura L. Ingram declines to accept assignment of the above-captioned case, this Division will retain exclusive control over this matter.